



# City Of Attleboro, Massachusetts

## PLANNING BOARD

GOVERNMENT CENTER, 77 PARK STREET  
ATTLEBORO, MASSACHUSETTS 02703  
TEL 508.223.2222 FAX 508.222.3046

## MINUTES

AUGUST 13, 2018

**In accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws Ch. 40A and Ch. 41, as amended, the Planning Board held public hearings on Monday, August 13, 2018 at 6:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers , City Hall, 77 Park Street, relative to the following :**

Planning Board Members Present: Chairman Paul Danesi, Vice Chairman Jason Gittle, Sheryl Guglielmo, Scott Jones, Elizabeth Kenyon, Melinda Kwart, Jim Lewis, and Jack Rogers

Planning Board Members Absent: Secretary Bert Buckley

**The Board heard the continued public hearing for the application of SR Land Improvement for the proposed eighteen (18) lot definitive subdivision plan entitled "EDWARD SR. ESTATES," (formerly "STONE-E-LEA ESTATES") located on County Street, more specifically Assessor's plat #143, lot #5, located in the Single Residence-D zoning district, engineered by Joseph A. Casali, R.P.E. of Joe Casali Engineering, Inc., 300 Post Road, Warwick, RI 02888.**

The Board reviewed the response comments, revised plans, and updated stormwater calculations prepared by Joe Casali Engineering, Inc., submitted on August 7, 2018, relative to "Edwards Sr. Estates" definitive subdivision plan.

Speaking in favor of the application was Joe Casali of Joe Casali Engineering who stated that they are waiting to hear back on whether Horsley Witten Group approves their response comments. He requested an extension of time to September 28, 2018.

Sheryl Guglielmo made a motion to grant an extension of time to September 28, 2018. Melinda Kwart seconded the motion and all voted in favor.

There being no one else to speak, the public hearing was continued.

**The Board held a business meeting.**

**The Board discussed the petition of Laurel Knoll Land Trust to rezone property located at 0 MacDonald Lane from "Single Residence-D" to "General Residence-C."**

Jim Lewis made a motion to recommend that the Municipal Council approve the petition of Laurel Knoll Land Trust to rezone property located at 0 MacDonald Lane, more specifically, Assessor's lot #9, containing a land area of approximately 8.691 acres, on plat #101, from "Single Residence-D" to "General

Residence-C". Scott Jones seconded the motion. Chairman Danesi and Vice Chairman Jason Gittle voted in opposition; the rest of the Board voted in favor.

The Planning Board stated their opinion that rezoning the property to "General Residence-C" would not constitute spot zoning, would be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and would be consistent with the character of the surrounding area. They emphasized that the proposed plan for the property, however, is significantly denser than is appropriate and recommends that the proposed number of units be reduced.

**The Board discussed the petition of the Municipal Council to amend the ZONING ORDINANCE, §17-3.4 TABLE OF USE REGULATIONS, §17-8.6 FEE SCHEDULE, §17-10.15 REGISTERED MARIJUANA DISPENSARY USES, AND §17-11.2 DEFINITIONS.**

Jason Gittle made a motion to recommend to the Municipal Council the adoption of the proposed amendments to the ZONING ORDINANCE, specifically §17-3.4 TABLE OF USE REGULATIONS, §17-8.6 FEE SCHEDULE, §17-10.15 REGISTERED MARIJUANA DISPENSARY USES, and §17-11.2 DEFINITIONS, as detailed in the draft "Ordinance for Marijuana Businesses" revised through June 12, 2018. Melinda Kwart seconded the motion and all voted in favor.

**The Board discussed the preliminary subdivision plan, entitled "PIKE ESTATES" submitted by RRSP Acquisitions, LLC.**

Senior Land Use Planner Stephanie Davies informed the Board that we are still awaiting the stormwater management peer review.

Speaking in opposition to the application was John Donovan of 64 Nash Lane who asked whether there are any plans to try to widen Rambler Road as it enters the development. He stated that he had heard that land is being claimed via eminent domain to widen the street.

Chairman Danesi replied that to his knowledge, there is no intent to widen the existing portion of Rambler Road. He stated that this application only contains a seventy foot long boulevard at the entry to the subdivision from Rambler Road to minimize speeding.

Mr. Donovan stated that initial conversations with the developer indicated they intended to gate the access to Rambler Road and have it be used simply as fire access with the main egress provided on Pike Avenue. He stated that he is not happy that the access on Rambler Road is also proposed to be full access. He stated that it will be disruptive and presents traffic hazards to his quiet neighborhood which contains a lot of children.

Ms. Davies stated that two points of access are proposed on Pike Avenue, which appear to be the primary entrance. She stated that the entrance from Rambler Road will be encumbered by the boulevard and there is also a four-way stop sign proposed for the intersection of Rambler Road and Nash Road. She stated that there is no proposal to limit the access from Rambler Road.

Chairman Danesi stated he thought it unlikely that anyone would use the subdivision entrance from Rambler Road to cut through to Pike Avenue.

Mr. Donovan countered that people cut through on his street from Bishop all the time, thinking that they can get through to Pike Avenue.

Jim Lewis suggested that a Subdivision Committee meeting be scheduled to discuss design issues. He stated his sense that the boulevard design has a host of challenges, not unlike the ones that came up when a similar design was built in the Avalon Estates subdivision.

Speaking in opposition was Gary Robey of 41 Nash Lane who stated that he has concerns relative to the water infrastructure in the area. He stated that there have been four water main breaks on Bishop Street in the last year. He stated that he is concerned whether the main will be able to support forty-nine additional houses. He added that the site is very swampy.

Jim Lewis reiterated that this is just a concept plan and that nothing will really move forward until public hearings are held for a definitive plan. He suggested that residents stop by the Planning office to review the plans and submit comments for the Planning Board.

Speaking in opposition was Robert Pasquale of 4 Rambler Road who stated that he is a new homeowner and specifically bought his home because it was in a quiet neighborhood. He stated that he feels this development will significantly worsen traffic in the area. He suggested that stop signs in such a quiet area are unlikely to slow anyone down and that the straight extension of Rambler Road in essence creates a speedway. He stated that they already suffer from traffic from LaSalette.

Speaking in opposition was Carol Connolly of 27 Rambler Road who stated that she has reviewed the plans and spoke with the developer. She stated her opinion that there will be significant traffic issues for her neighborhood. She stated that she purchased her specific home because it is on a dead end road as she wanted a quiet neighborhood in which to raise her two young children. She stated that the land in question abuts wetlands and she is familiar with how wet the property is as she has walked her dog back there for years. She stated that she is aware of rising ground water issues on Steeple Chase Circle and that she is concerned with similar issues as her neighborhood will be downhill from the development. She stated that she already uses two sump pumps to keep her basement dry and does not want to see any increases in ground water. She submitted a letter to the Board regarding her concerns that she had already submitted to her Ward Representative.

Speaking neither for nor against the proposal was Brian Brosnan of 51 Brookside Drive who stated he has concerns over what has been presented to date. He questioned whether the project has appeared before the Conservation Commission.

Ms. Davies stated her recollection that an ORAD had been approved verifying the wetlands on site. She noted that only the houses along the eastern property line will be within the wetland buffers and require permitting. She stated that no part of the roadways will need approval, so the Conservation Commission will not be involved until the subdivision is approved and the house lots are being developed.

Mr. Brosnan questioned how stormwater is reviewed.

Ms. Davies replied that a preliminary plan is not subject to a public hearing and is simply a meeting to discuss a conceptual plan. She stated that a conceptual stormwater plan has been submitted. She stated that the City's third party engineer is reviewing the project. She stated that if this current plan is approved,

the applicant will have to return to the Board for a definitive subdivision filing, at which time a public notice and hearing will occur. She stated that the stormwater system would receive another peer review at that time. She also noted that all relevant Department Heads within the City received a copy of the plan so that they can provide comment to the Board for both the preliminary and definitive subdivision plans.

Mr. Brosnan asked how traffic impacts will be reviewed.

Ms. Davies stated that a traffic report was submitted with the application. She stated that if the Board found it necessary, they could have a peer review performed of that, as well.

Mr. Brosnan stated that he thinks that traffic is a huge concern. He noted that he and his neighbors have suffered significant flooding from the nearby stream.

Speaking neither for nor against was Melanie Lipoff of 24 Rambler Road who stated her concern with the water situation. She stated that there are 16 houses in her neighborhood and that all run at least one, sometimes more sump pumps to keep their basements dry. She questioned how much of this same review process occurred before the homes on Steeple Chase were built, as they have extensive water problems.

Ms. Davies explained that the Steeple Chase Circle development was built before the City had a stormwater management ordinance.

Ms. Lipof stated that she has tandem sump pumps that keep her basement dry. She stated that traffic in her neighborhood is bumper to bumper seasonally with people attending LaSalette's summer pageant and Christmas light event. She asserted that traffic will worsen when people start using this new development as a back road to cut through. She stated they frequently have big rig trucks that think they can cut through Nash Road to get to Pike Avenue that then get stuck with nowhere to back-up.

Chairman Danesi replied that this subdivision plan will make Rambler Road a through street. He stated that at this time, the Board is just seeking input on the proposed design. He stated that stormwater engineering has gotten much more sophisticated in the last ten to fifteen years and cities expend a lot more effort and money in controlling stormwater. He stated that a lot has changed which will help prevent a situation akin to the one on Steeple Chase Circle.

The Board scheduled a Subdivision Committee meeting for September 10, 2018 at 5:30 p.m.

**The Board discussed the Form A plan submitted by LeBlanc Acquisition & Development, LLC for 736-738 County Street .**

Speaking in favor of the application was Brett LeBlanc who stated that this plan is meant to correct an error in the title description for the properties dating back to the late 1990's. He stated that the house he owns has been sold multiple times with errors in Lots 1 and 1A. He stated that unbeknownst to him, when he purchase the land, he also acquired 15-feet of the abutter's garage in lieu of a portion of land he thought he was getting in the rear. He stated that he has approached his neighbor about rectifying the situation, but the neighbor doesn't seem motivated to act. He stated that he built a duplex on his lot, which he currently rents to his parents and another tenant. He stated that he is attempting to get financing, but the bank won't issue a mortgage since the neighbor already has a mortgage on the portion of the land containing the garage. He stated that without the neighbor's cooperation, he is looking to just carve out

that portion of the property so that he can obtain financing for the remaining lot containing the house. He stated that when the abutter goes to sell, they will have to resolve the title issue.

Ms. Davies stated that Lot 1A has the required frontage and area for the duplex. She noted that Lot 1 is labeled non-buildable.

Jim Lewis called out a lot line being shown between this property and the neighbor's land that doesn't actually exist.

Melinda Kwart questioned how Parcel A can be carved out if LeBlanc doesn't own it.

Ms. Davies agreed that it couldn't be created without the abutter's signature.

Jim Lewis stated that this plan shows Mr. LeBlanc ceding a thirty foot strip of land to his abutter that he doesn't truly own.

Sheryl Guglielmo agreed that the plan doesn't show the accurate existing lot lines.

Ms. Davies agreed that Parcel A cannot be created as it is owned by the abutter and would require his signature on the application.

The Board tabled the matter and directed Mr. LeBlanc to revise the plan to accurately portray his goal.

Mr. LeBlanc requested an extension of time to September 10, 2018. Melinda Kwart made a motion to grant an extension of time to September 10, 2018. Scott Jones seconded the motion and all voted in favor.

**The Board reviewed the Form A plan submitted by Woodlark Development Corp. for 0 Pleasant Street .**

Ms. Davies stated that the plan is creating eight lots with frontage on Pleasant Street and Pike Avenue. She stated that they meet the minimum frontage and lot area requirements. She stated that she finds how they meet the one hundred twenty foot lot width requirement to be questionable, but recalls that it has been allowed in the past. She noted that one lot fails to meet that requirement, which will need redress for the lot to be buildable.

Jim Lewis argued that it is outside of the Planning Board purview to address lot width.

Sheryl Guglielmo suggested that it's probably an oversight and that they will have to return to the Board to resolve the issue. She argued the applicant should get the opportunity to fix the plan now, rather than having to refile later.

Jason Gittle agreed.

Melinda Kwart confirmed the typical fees for filing a Form A plan. She then suggested the applicant have the opportunity to refile without submitting a fee and asking the Board for an extension of time.

The Board tabled the matter pending a revised plan.

**The Board reviewed the Form A plan submitted by Kyle Carney for 164 and 156 Handy Street .**

Speaking in favor of the application was Kyle Carney who stated that he had created two buildable lots when he purchased this lot. He stated that he will be living on the rear lot, but wants to maintain a buffer from the future owners of the front lot, so is ceding a parcel from the front lot to the rear to create a greater buffer. He noted that the buffer contains woods which provide a proper screen.

Ms. Davies confirmed the plan's intent to carve out Parcel B and combine it with Lot 1.

Jason Gittle made a motion to approve the Form A plan for 164 and 156 Handy Street. Jim Lewis seconded the motion and all voted in favor.

**The Board heard the appointment to speak of Stephen W. Smith of GeoHydroCycle, Inc. regarding Brigham Hills Estates II .**

Jim Lewis recused himself and left the floor due to a conflict.

Mr. Smith explained that he performed the groundwater and mounding analysis for "Brigham Hills" and proceeded to distribute documents to accompany his report. He explained that they looked at the difference between the current elevation of ground water and the seasonal elevation of ground water. He stated that they found the seasonal groundwater to be two and a half feet higher than the baseline readings from spring of 2014. He stated that this is baseline data and independent of any ponds existing and predicts parts of the property will be underwater at certain times of the year.

Jason Gittle asked whether the ponds are affecting the ground water level on the Cooke property.

Melinda Kwart stated that a previous hydrogeologist before the Board was discussing the mounding effect. She questioned whether mounding is observed through the analysis. She also noted that May has been relatively dry comparatively to years past.

Mr. Smith argued that there was a fair amount of rain leading up to May. He explained how three analysis were done on each test pit. He explained the inverse relationship between hydraulic conductivity and mounding. He described groundwater to be like a pipe and that the thicker it is, the more water it can hold, but that the capacity varies over time with seasonally conditions.

Melinda Kwart questioned why the wells so close to Ms. Cooke's property are so different from the others.

Mr. Smith replied that those are at a different elevation than the others. He stated that adjustments were made to minimize error in the model and predict groundwater levels based on the hydraulic properties.

Elizabeth Kenyon questioned how much of an increase Ms. Cooke's property can accommodate.

Mr. Smith stated that their studies show that the seasonal ground high water is already at the ground's surface or above, so there is no room for additional.

Jason Gittle questioned the cause of the six inch increase shown.

Mr. Smith replied that it is the result of water in the basins during the 100-year storm.

Scott Jones noted that the matter is very technical and questioned whether someone more qualified than the Board should be reviewing it.

Ms. Davies stated that Ms. Cooke's engineer has responses prepared. She stated that Andrews Survey and Engineering is in the process of developing ideas for resolving the mounding issue and that those proposals will require peer review.

Melinda Kwart sought to confirm that Ms. Cooke already has high ground water as a baseline condition and that the mounding from the basins has cause a an additional six inches of water to be added to the normal ground water height.

Mr. Smith replied in the affirmative.

Speaking was Bill Blais of Andrews Survey and Engineering who stated that stormwater regulations relate to water that is discharged at surface level rather than ground water levels below the surface.

Jason Gittle sought to verify that if the basins didn't exist, Ms. Cooke wouldn't be impaired with an additional six inches of ground water in the 100-year storm.

Mr. Blais agreed that that is what the model predicts.

Melinda Kwart questioned whether groundwater or mounding is addressed in the third party stormwater management reviews.

Ms. Davies stated that the applicant submitted a mounding analysis with their stormwater calculations and that Horsley Witten Group always reviews mounding. She stated that in this case, she was unsure where the problem lies, but that it was a variable of the review.

Melinda Kwart sought to confirm that Horsley Witten Group signed off on the design.

Ms. Davies answered yes. She suggested that the applicant submit a proposal to revise the stormwater system and that it be sent out to Horsley Witten Group with the information from the analysis for review.

Mr. Blais agreed that any potential solution should be run through the predictive model and reviewed by Horsley Witten Group. He stated that new plans will be put together once a feasible model is developed that will address the current issues.

Chairman Danesi sought to confirm that there is high ground water in the area, regardless of the detention ponds.

Mr. Blais replied in the affirmative.

Ms. Davies requested an anticipated timeline for submitting a solution.

Mr. Blais noted that they will likely have to return to the Conservation Commission for amended permitting as well. He stated that he was unsure on timing but said that it may be ready in time for the September 10<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**The Board heard the appointment to speak of Jennifer Cooke of 128 Smith Street regarding Brigham Hill Estates II.**

Speaking was Richard Wozmak of EndPoint Environmental on behalf of Jennifer Cooke who provided his interpretation that the model pre-basin conditions for groundwater predict that the paddock floods during the spring/high water conditions. He stated that this in and of itself is problematic as it isn't borne out by reality - he stated that Ms. Cooke's paddock has not habitually flooded prior to the installation of the basins. He argued that modeling should be just one of the tools used to come up with an alternative design to reduce flooding. He suggested that any improved design should be evaluated by continued monitoring of the wells, as well as the on-the-ground effects in terms of flooding to Ms. Cooke's property. He argued that actual ground water elevations should trump a model and he agreed that May had below-normal rainfall conditions so the numbers from the wells likely are unduly influencing the model. He also called into question the assumption that all water infiltrates in the ponds within 24 hours, as we know that is not the case in reality. He stated that Ms. Cooke has called Bristol County Mosquito Control out more than two times to address the mosquitos that resulted from the standing water in the ponds. He noted that this simulation only accounts for groundwater issues, but doesn't address the outflow issues that resulted in flooding over Ms. Cook's driveway and her neighbor's lawn. He stated that he also had concerns that the model doesn't reflect capillary fringe, which is a certain boundary above the water table, up to a foot, where the soil can be accumulating the water, and saturating its capacity.

Elizabeth Kenyon noted that no uncertainty analysis appears to have been performed.

Mr. Wozmak agreed that it cannot be performed when there is no known condition with which to coordinate.

Elizabeth Kenyon questioned what other tool he would suggest be used outside of this model.

Mr. Wozmak replied actual data. He argued that the wells have been installed and they should continue to be monitored. He stated that if a new design is implemented and the wells continue to show water table levels at or near the ground surface, clearly the approach is invalid.

Ms. Davies noted that ideally data would be gathered in the spring, during the wet season.

Mr. Wozmak agreed.

Jason Gittle questioned whether this approach implies that more time needs to be taken to collect and evaluate data before implementing a solution.

Mr. Wozmak disagreed. He stated that it just needs to be recognized that this model may not be predicting the resulting water tables from an approach accurately. He suggested that some type of uncertainty buffer be included in the model's calculations. He suggested that the City's engineers review the data with that same mindset. He stated Ms. Cooke's concerns with the effect of the groundwater issues on her septic system. He argued that he and Ms. Cooke are not being allowed to access the data from the wells and the property access agreement that she was under the impression had been signed, apparently has not.

Chairman Danesi replied that unfortunately, that is a private property matter and not under the Board's purview.

Jim Lewis returned to the floor.

**The Board reviewed the letter from Planning Board Clerk Lauren Stamatis, dated August 2, 2018, to Anthony Marinella of Maddi North Street Development, providing tertiary notice of the "Avalon Estates" subdivision overdue deadline.**

Speaking was Suanne Santos of InSite Engineering who stated that a number of punch list items had been addressed back in June. She stated that the long awaited Public Works final inspection was performed last week and that the outstanding items include street sweeping to remove sand on the road, removal of a fallen tree, final landscaping of the boulevard, weeding and mowing of the swales and bioretention areas, repair of a fence denoting the 25-foot wetland buffer, and repairing the functionality of the fire alarm box. She stated that there appears to be a connection issue with the box and the Fire Department is attempting to resolve things, but it may end up being a warranty issue.

Chairman Danesi questioned an issue that was raised with a granite bound in a driveway.

Ms. Santos replied that surveying is being done, but it was discovered that a portion of sidewalk needs to be shifted in front of house numbers 36 and 40 as it is on the homeowners' properties, rather than in the right-of-way. She stated that outside of that issue the as-built plans are practically complete.

Chairman Danesi questioned if there are still ongoing concerns from residents regarding the slope and apron of driveways.

Ms. Santos stated her understanding that the majority of those concerns have been resolved. She stated that she's aware of one concern raised by Mr. Friedman, where it looks like the driveway was driven over

before it had cured, creating a “slide” or a rise. She stated that it is ADA compliant, but that they are looking at how the problem can be resolved.

Chairman Danesi questioned whether Ms. Santos has spoken with any of the residents.

Ms. Santos replied only Mr. Friedman.

Ms. Davies stated that Public Works recommends a partial release of funds at this time. She stated that an extension of time is required to cover the time anticipated to finish the punch list items and submit their as-built and street acceptance plans.

Ms. Santos stated her sense that things will be wrapped up by mid-October. She anticipated the as-built being submitted within the next week. She requested an extension of time to October 30, 2018.

Melinda Kwart made a motion to grant an extension of time to October 30, 2018. Jim Lewis seconded the motion and all voted in favor.

Chairman Danesi informed the residents in attendance that they do not have appointments to speak and the matter is not an open hearing. He suggested that if they are having issues with their contractor, they should try to work with Ms. Santos and the developer to resolve things. He explained that it is a private property matter and that they may have to legally go after their contractors under their home warranties. He stated that the Board only has so much power to address issues outside of the subdivision’s infrastructure.

An unnamed resident raised his concern with school buses not coming down the street and that with the boulevard, there’s nowhere to park or drop off the children at the end of the street.

Chairman Danesi replied that the Planning Board will talk to the school department. He stated that at the time of approval, this specific question was asked and the Board was assured that the plan was acceptable.

Mr. Friedman stated that his driveway was the last one fixed and that if it had been repaired to the same degree as others in the neighborhood, he would have no complaint with the job.

Ms. Santos stated that the issue with Mr. Friedman’s driveway is beyond the Planning Board’s purview. She stated that Brandon from Marinella Construction has been trying to work with the contractor of Mr. Friedman’s house to resolve the issue, but it is the busy season. She stated that he will continue to try to resolve things, but that the concern before the Planning Board is with punch list items as dictated by Public Works.

**The Board reviewed the memorandum from Public Works Superintendent Mike R. Tyler, dated August 13, 2018, to the Planning Board recommending a PARTIAL release of funds in the amount of \$42,335.90 relative to the “AVALON ESTATES” subdivision.**

Jim Lewis made a motion to grant a partial release of funds in the amount of \$42,335.90. Melinda Kwart seconded the motion and all voted in favor.

**The Board reviewed the letter and pictures from attorney Edward Casey of Casey Law Offices, P.C., dated August 13, 2018, to Chairman Paul Danesi of the Planning Board, regarding the slope of the driveways in the “HARDT ESTATES” subdivision.**

Ms. Davies noted that this subdivision dates back to when John Clover was still Public Works Superintendent and explained that she had been present at an inspection years prior where the slopes in question were found to not meet ADA standards and that they had been installed without notifying Public Works or scheduling an inspection. She stated that due to that fact, the Board has continually refused to

grant them a release of funds until the issue is resolved to the satisfaction of Public Works. She suggested that it will not be possible for the Board to overlook the issue as it is state law and a liability for the City. She noted that the Board has also called out other subdivisions, like Avalon Estates, for not meeting ADA slope requirements.

The Board tabled the matter pending Mr. Casey appearing to address the Board.

**The Board reviewed the letter from Public Works Superintendent Michael R. Tyler, dated July 25, 2018, to the Planning Board recommending a FINAL release of funds in the amount of \$14,463.24 plus interest relative to the "NICHOL AVENUE EXTENSION" Street Extension.**

Melinda Kwart made a motion to grant a final release of funds in the amount of \$14,463.24 plus interest. Sheryl Guglielmo seconded the motion and all voted in favor.

**The Board tabled the notice of default from Kevin Freytag of Murphy Hesse Toomey & Lehane, LLC, dated August 6, 2018, to Fred Bottomley, relative to the "CAMERON WOODS" definitive subdivision.**

Ms. Davies informed the Board that this notice was sent out certified mail and the receiver has seven days to respond. She stated her hope that things will be resolved by the next meeting.

**The Board tabled the "Stone Field Estates Timeline" submitted by Sean McNamara on August 3, 2018 relative to the "STONE FIELD ESTATES" definitive subdivision.**

**The Board tabled the letters from Planning Board Clerk Lauren Stamatis, to Public Works Superintendent Michael Tyler, dated August 2, 2018, soliciting a recommended bond amount for the "VIRIDIAN MEADOWS, PHASE II" definitive subdivision plan, dated July 17, 2018, soliciting a recommendation on the requested PARTIAL release of funds for the "COLMAN ESTATES" definitive subdivision plan, and dated August 13, 2018, soliciting a recommendation on the requested PARTIAL release of funds relative to the "VIRIDIAN MEADOWS" definitive subdivision plan pending responses from the Public Works Department.**

The Board reviewed all remaining correspondence.

The Board approved the pending minutes of April 2, 2018 and April 23, 2018. The Board tabled the minutes of May 7, 2018, May 21, 2018, June 4, 2018, June 18, 2018, and July 17, 2018.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:45 p.m.