

ATTLEBORO SCHOOL BUILDING COMMITTEE

MAYOR PAUL HEROUX, CHAIR

JOHN F.D. JACOBI, VICE-CHAIR MUN. BLDG. COMMISSION (CHAIR.)

TERRI DESISTO

ROBERT GEDDES

SCHOOL COMMITTEE

MUN. BLDG. COMMISSION

DAVID SAWYER

SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

JASON PARENTEAU FACILITIES MANAGER

LAURIE REGAN ASST. SUPERINTENDENT

STEPHEN WITHERS, JR. SCHOOL COMMITTEE

GERRY FRICOT MuN. Bldg. Commission **BILL RUNEY**

PRINCIPAL - AHS

EDWARD STANTON MUN. BLDG. COMMISSION

SCOTT DOMENICI SCHOOL COMMITTEE

JACQUELINE ROMANIECKI MUN. BLDG. COMMISSION

MARC FURTADO DIR. OF FINANCE

> **MINUTES** June 9, 2018

(Room 178E - Attleboro High School)

Members present: Mayor Paul Heroux, Chair, Vice-Chair Jack Jacobi, David Sawyer, Jason Parenteau, Laurie Regan, Scott Domenici, Marc Furtado, Bill Runey, Edward Stanton, Jacqueline Romaniecki, Terri DeSisto, and Robert Geddes

Others present: Gale Clark Capital Projects, MaryAnn Williams, Skanska, Anjanette Kelso, Skanska, Brian Solywada KBA, Joe Milani KBA

Meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. in the Blue Pride Bistro Room 130B

AHS BUILDING PROJECT

CM-at-Risk interviews were conducted according to the following schedule:

- 1. Consigli Construction
- 2. Dimeo Construction
- 3. Gilbane Buillders
- 4. Shawmut Design & Construction
- 5. Turner Construction

Each team presented for one hour followed by 10 minute question and answer session.

Evaluation & Ranking of CMr firms

After each of the five (5) firms completed their presentations, the SBC discussed the pros and cons of each presentation. The firms were then scored according to the point system contained in the RFQ. Each SBC member then submitted their scores to the OPM. Skanska score sheet included in these minutes.

Voted on Roll Call (12 Yeas, 0 Nays) to approve Mayor Heroux to enter into contract with Consigli Construction as Construction Manager at Risk firm for the Attleboro High School building project.

Next Meeting Dates¹:
July 18, 2018

MOTION was made, and duly seconded to adjourn the meeting at 5:30 pm.

THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY

¹ All meetings to be held at 5:45 pm AHS Room 178E, unless otherwise indicated.

P Proposal Scoring for Attleboro High School			Consigli	Dimeo	Gilbane	Shawmut	Turner	
propleteness of Response (checkmark if included) Package correctly identified			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Technical (Non-Price) Proposal Response Form, Signed			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Required number of copies submitted			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Addenda acknowledged on form			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Informational sheet included			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Affidavit of Compliance included			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Affidavit of Prevailing Wage Compliance included			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Certification of Tax Cmpliance included Price Proposal, Signed			Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	
Non-Collusion Affidavit			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
aluation Criteria and Scoring Methodology			103	103	103	103	1.03	
Qualifications of Personnel	Max Pts 15)
Up to 3 pts for proposed Superintendent		3	3	3	2	2	3	
Up to 3 pts for Project Manager & Assistants		3	3	3	2	2	3	
Up to 3 pts for pre-construction team		3	3	3	2	3	3	
Up to 3 pts for overall "TEAM" project experience		3	3	2	2	2.5	2.5	
one point if responder ackowledges no substitution of staff		1	0	0	0	0	0	
Up to 2 pts for similar project size experience		2	1.5	2	2	2	2.5	Cub Tatal
<u>. </u>		15	13.5	13	10	11.5	14	Sub Total
Preconstruction Service Plan	Max Pts 15							ì
up to 3 pts. for proposed cost estimating program		3	3	3	3	3	3	
up to 3 pts. for proposed cost estimating program	1	3	3	3	3	2.5	2.5	1
up to 3 pts. for proposed Trades Purchasing Plan		3	3	3	2	2	2.5]
up to 3 pts. for proposed cost tracking method		3	3	3	2	2	3	
up to 3 pts. for mention of Community Liason		3	3	3	3	0	3	
1		15	15	15	13	9.5	14	Sub Total
Construction Services Plan	Max Pts 15		1	1	1	1	1	ì
		2	3	3	3	1 -	2.5	
up to 3 pts. for plan to minimize change orders & claims up to 3 pts. for plan to manage Trade Contractors		3	3 2	3	3 2.5	1.5 1	3	
up to 3 pts. for plan to manage Trade Contractors up to 3 pts. for plan to minimize General Conditions Costs	 	3	3	2	2.5	0	0	
up to 3 pts. for Proposed QA/QC program	†	3	3	3	3	1	3	1
up to 3 pts. for proposed mitigation program		3	3	2	2	1	3	
		15	14	13	12.5	4.5	11.5	Sub Total
-								
Comments on Preliminary Phasing & Schedule	Max Pts 5		_		_	_	_	
proposed methodology to insure Owner's schedule is met		5	5	4	5	3 3	3 3	0 1 7 1 1
		5	5	4	5	3	3	Sub Total
CM comments on Budget	Max Pts 5					l		Ì
Up to 5 pts, based on thoroughness of review		5	5	3	3	3	2.5	
		5	5	3	3	3	2.5	Sub Total
	14. Di 5							
CM comments on design options	Max Pts 5				+			
Up to 5 pts, based on suggestions for improved constructability and VE suggestions		5	5	2	0	0	4	
Constructability and VL suggestions		5	5	2	0	0	4	Sub Total
		,	,	2	U	U	4	Jub Total
)
CM experience with NET ZERO/LEED/Sustainability	Max Pts 5							
Up to 5 pts, based on suggestions for improved		5	5	3	3	3	5	
		5	5	3	3	3	5	Sub Total
		65	62.5	53	46.5	34.5	54	Sub Total 65 Max
			Highly			Qualified but not	Qualified but not	
			Advantageous	Advantageous	Advantageous	preferred	preferred	
			Consigli	Dimeo	Gilbane	Shawmut	Turner	J
Intension	May Dtc 2F	1 25	35	22	22	22	10	1
Interview	Max Pts 25	25	25	23	23	23	10	
		90	87.5	76	69.5	57.5	64	Sub Total 90 Max
	Precon FTE Months:	:[16	14	15	16	10	Average = 14
Construction FTE (Full Tin	ne Equivilents) Months:		498	722	696	627		Average = 615
			\$ 9,721,474	\$ 9,696,289	\$ 10,485,542	\$ 10,669,319	\$ 9,863,403	\$ 10,087,2
Price Proposal	Max Pts 10	Hours:						
	tions	2	2	2	2	2	0	
Pre-Con Service Fee - score based on proposed hours/dura	T		2	2	2	2	2	
		2						
Pre-Con Service Fee - score based on proposed hours/dura	2.51-3.0% = 0 pts	6	6	4	6	6	0	
Pre-Con Service Fee - score based on proposed hours/durat Construction - score based on proposed hours/durations	2.51-3.0% = 0 pts			4 8	6 10	6 10	0 2	Sub Total
Pre-Con Service Fee - score based on proposed hours/durat Construction - score based on proposed hours/durations	2.51-3.0% = 0 pts Construction Fee:		6					Sub Total
Pre-Con Service Fee - score based on proposed hours/durat Construction - score based on proposed hours/durations			6					Sub Total
Pre-Con Service Fee - score based on proposed hours/durat Construction - score based on proposed hours/durations			6 10	8	10	10	2	Sub Total
Pre-Con Service Fee - score based on proposed hours/durat Construction - score based on proposed hours/durations			6 10	8	10	10	2	Sub Total
Pre-Con Service Fee - score based on proposed hours/durat Construction - score based on proposed hours/durations			6 10	8	10	10	2	
Pre-Con Service Fee - score based on proposed hours/durat Construction - score based on proposed hours/durations Construction Fee: 1.50-2.00% = 6 pts; 2.01-2.50% = 4 pts;			6 10 Consigli	8 Dimeo	10 Gilbane	10 Shawmut	2 Turner	Sub Total Total Score 100 Mi
Pre-Con Service Fee - score based on proposed hours/durat Construction - score based on proposed hours/durations Construction Fee: 1.50-2.00% = 6 pts; 2.01-2.50% = 4 pts;			6 10 Consigli	8 Dimeo	10 Gilbane	10 Shawmut	2 Turner	

Ranking of firm	by preference	- 1 being first choice

Consigli
Dimeo
Gilbane
Shawmut
Turner

97.5	84	79.5	67.5	66	Total Score 100 MAX	l
Consigli	Dimeo	Gilhane	Shawmut	Turner		